

Joint Analysis: QDR 2001 and Beyond

Working Group: Shape

Small Scale Contingencies and OOTW



SSC / OOTW




OOTW Force Structure Impact

LRC-Domestic Contingencies

Part of
Programmed
Force
Structure?

Average is ~
2 Divisions


Slope = Increasing
Mission Load



Joint Analysis: QDR 2001 and Beyond

The Future?

Total Force Picture



Small Scale Contingencies and OOTW Objectives

- **Analytic Subjects:** Identify potential analytic subjects in the SSC domain.
- **Analytic Tools:** Identify and assess available analytical tools to address subjects (capability, limitations, etc) Highlight analytic tool shortfalls.
- **Data:** Identify and assess data needs to support analytic tools to address subjects (robustness, limitations, etc). Highlight data voids.
- **Measure of Effectiveness (MOE):** Identify and assess MOEs for analytic subjects in the SSC domain (appropriateness, utility, etc).
- Suggest future Courses of Action to improve usefulness of QDR 2001.
 - One joint database
 - Process matters - QDR process needs to be as explicit as possible
- Suggest ways to improve joint analysis in the 21st Century.
 - Analytical “modules” (e.g., process, database, results) on the shelf that can be used whatever problem is posed to the analytical community
- Identify issues and concerns for QDR 2001

SSC and OOTW - Sub WorkGroup

- **Chair:** Col Forrest Crain, DMSO
- **Co-Chair:** Dr. Dean Hartley, ORNL
- Work Group Panel Members:
 - **Ms Robbin Beall** N-81
 - **Mr. Bill Brundage** OSD
 - **Dr. Phil Barry** DMSO
 - **Karsten Engelmann** CAA
 - **Mr. Bruce Harris** DRC
 - **Maj Glen Roussos** SOCOM, SO J7
 - **Dr. Warren Switzer** DMSO, M&SIAC

